

Peer Reviewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal (Journal No. 40776)



AN INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY
QUARTERLY RESEARCH JOURNAL
ISSN 2277-5730



AJANTA

Volume - VII, Issue - IV English Part - V October - December - 2018

IPACT FACTOR / INDEXING 2018 - 5.5 www.sjifactor.com

AJANTA PRAKASHAN

SOURCE SENGLISH PART - V <</p>

Sr. No.	Name & Author Name	Page No
13	Co-Relation Matrix of the Variables Influencing Agricultural	82-88
	Productivity in Beed District	
	Dr. Syed Rafat Ali Osman Ali	
	Dr. Shaikh Rafeeque Ishakhoddin	1
14	The Ancient Architecture in India - A Road map for Present Architects	89-95
	Prof. Mrs. Sharmila Sabale	
15	Vijay Tendulkar's Mitrachi Goshta: A Journey from Rejection to Acceptance	96-100
	Dr. Manisha M. Mujumdar	
16	Africa and Political Situation in V.S. Naipaul's 'In a Free State'	101-103
1.7	Dr. S. I. Noorani	101 105
17	The Image of Woman in Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's Short Stories	104-108
	'Clothes' and 'The Bats'	104-100
	Dr. Sachin G. Kamble	
10	Mr. L. N. Shikalagar	
18	Philosophical Humanism in Alan Paton's Cry, The Beloved Country	109-113
10	Mr. Chintamani Yashwantrao Jodh	109-113
19	Growth of Dalit and Tribal Women in Higher Education: A Study on Kerala	114 110
20	Santhosh Y.	114-119
20	Role of Mathematics in Portfolio Management	100 100
21	Mrs. Kalpana Prasad Damdas	120-125
21	Implementation of Kisan Credit Card Scheme (Crop Loan) in India	-
20	Dr. Pallayi Bhagayan Missa	126-134
22	Local Finance in Kerala: A Case Study of Rural and Listen	
1	Local Self Governments	135-142
22	Deepu Das N.	v 1
23	Water Resource and its Management in Osmanabad District (M.S.)	
0.1	DI. Gavakaro D. D.	143-149
24	Agriculture Insurance Scheme in Marathwada	
	Dr. Hange Arun Keshvrao	150-154
	Manage Al uli Keshvrao	

13. Co-Relation Matrix of the Variables Influencing Agricultural Productivity in Beed District

Dr. Syed Rafat Ali Osman Ali
Assit. Prof. Dept. of Geography, Milliya College, Beed.
Dr. Shaikh Rafeeque Ishakhoddin
Head, Dept. of Botany, Milliya College, Beed.

Abstract

Advances in technology and scientific methods, have provided more accurate data and information about the various features of the geographical landscape, and this intern has provided an opportunity to search for the explanation about patterns of distribution of physical, economic, socio-cultural and biological elements and the relationship among them.

The present attempt is associated with the various physical socio-economic and biological variables. Therefore, we have established relationship between agricultural productivity on the one hand, and other variables on the other. Now, let us take variables one by one find out door relationship between the agricultural productivity and various other social economic and physical factors.

Key words: Co-relation, Matrix, variables, Influencing, agricultural

Introduction

The measurement of association among the different elements of the geographical landscape and differences of the spatial patterns, require the application of appropriate techniques. The theoretical aspects of any phenomena occurring over the surface of the earth may not sometimes give very authentic information, but when it is provide on scientific ground then the facts and results became clearer.

In most of the aspects ate descriptive with the reasoning of how and why, are the most important enquires. Because, how and why provide an answer and support the argument discussed theoretically. In this study, both qualitative and quantitative aspects have its importance which denotes the quality and quantity of any component. (Table-1)

The use of statistical techniques and quantitative methods by using computers have enabled to bring out the most authentic and correct results from analysis of the data information,

associated with a particular region. Geographical information system (GIS) has opened the new ways to interpreted various kinds of bio-geographical problems within the study region. Geographical Information Systems (GIS) are applied to find out exact situation and position of the various geographical facts. The analysis by using the computers, with the help of soft ware programme, solves the different delicate agricultural problems within a short period of time.

Agricultural Productivity and Fertilizer Input

The agricultural productivity in Kg per hectare on the one hand and fertilizers input on the other has been taken as two variables influencing agricultural productivity. The co-efficient of co-relation was calculated which comes to 0.39. This clearly indicates that the positive value of 0.39, though, is not very significant, yet it indicates that the fertilizer input in Kg per hectare as increases, the agricultural productivity also increases accordingly. The Beed district is a region, where there is scarcity of water and the application of fertilizer is generally restricted in dry region. This positive value of co-efficient correlation reveals that in areas where enough irrigation facilities are available, the use of fertilizer per hectare by the farmers is relatively higher. Hence, the yield per unit of area is also higher. It may be stated that the use of fertilizer certainly enhance the agricultural productivity, particularly in the region where sufficient water is available for irrigation.

Agricultural Productivity and Proportion of Cultivable Land

The co-efficient of correlation is positive of 0.27 between the agricultural productivity per unit area and percent of cultivable land. Like fertilizer input in kg, the proportion of cultivable area has also positive relationship with the productivity per hectare of area. The cultivable land though sizable in Beed district, yet proportion of non-irrigated land is relatively higher; hence, the productivity per acre in relation to cultivation land is not much higher. Beed district is a region where no commercial and cash crop are of greater importance due to the scarcity of water for irrigation. The cultivable land and the agricultural productivity have a positive relationship in the district. As the percentage of cultivable land increases, accordingly the productivity of agriculture also increases. (Table-2)

Agricultural Productivity and Net Shown Area

Percentage of net sown area on the one hand and the productivity of agriculture per hectare, on the other hand have been taken two variables to represent relationship between the two; It reveals very insignificant relationship but negative. Surprisingly, with the increase of net

which are the presentity of agriculture per unit of area in kg declines, it means that with larger wire of not sown area, the decline in agricultural productivity has been observed in the region. This may be attributed for larger size of non-irrigated net sown area within the district of Beed.

Agricultural Productivity and Rainfall

Contrary to expectation, the relationship between agricultural productivity, shows a negative relationship and also quite insignificant. The larger area in the district of Beed is based on monsoon rainfall; particularly for cereals while the co-efficient of co-relation value represent insignificant relationship with per unit area of agricultural productivity. In other words, the distribution of rainfall which is not reliable and it is most erroneous and unpredictable. Hence, rainfall depicts a negative relationship with the productivity of agriculture in Beed district.

Agricultural Productivity and Irrigated Area

As per expectation, the relationship between agricultural productivity and irrigated area represent positive relationship. As it is clear from the co-efficient of co-relation value, with the increase of irrigated land, the agricultural productivity also increases. Hence, agricultural productivity per unit area also increases, within the district of Beed.

Agricultural Productivity and Land Holding

The co-efficient of co-relation value between these two variables is (-0.31). It clearly indicates that with large size of land holding; the agricultural productivity per unit of area decline. This may be attributed to low input per unit of area in the larger size of land holding. In other words, the agriculture productivity is higher for small land holders, within the district of Beed.

Agricultural Productivity and Workers in Agriculture and Manufacturing

The co-relation matrix represents negative insignificant values for the agricultural workers and worker in manufacturing. Due to the application of mechanization, the importance of agricultural workers and workers in the manufacturing has no impact upon the agricultural productivity. Therefore, negative relationships have been represented between the productivity per unit of area on the one hand and the percentage of manufacturing on the other hand within the district of Beed.

Agricultural Productivity and Plough, Engines, Bullock Cart and Tractors

The co-relation matrix between agricultural productivity per unit area on the one hand, and number of tractors on the others has been calculated. All give positive values of co-efficient of co-relation, but all of them are insignificant. This depicts that these variables have not significantly influenced the agricultural productivity per unit of area in different parts of Beed district.

Agricultural Productivity and Road Per 100 Sq Km Area

Surprisingly, the value of co-efficient of co-relation between agricultural productivity per unit of area on the one hand, and road length per hundred Sq Km of area shows a very significant positive relationship. It is clear from the facts that the value of co-efficient of correlation is (0.61). This means that with increasing, means of accessibility like village road, district road, state high way and national high ways, have provided easy transportation facilities to the farmers, to send their agricultural produce to market center for sale. It has encouraged to farmers to higher inputs and investment in agriculture which in turn has positively influenced agricultural productivity per unit of area in several parts of Beed district.

Agricultural Productivity and Percentage of Rural Population

Due to low degree of mechanization and less implementation of modern facilities still in a country like India has resulted in the large size of rural population which is still engaged in agricultural activities. This shows, that with higher proportion of rural population, the agricultural productivity per unit area lagging behind, than many developed and advanced regions. Therefore, productivity and rural population show positive insignificant relationship in Beed district.

Agricultural Productivity and Percentage of Urban Population

It represents negative and insignificant relationship with the agricultural productivity. It may be stated that with the urbanization, the agricultural productivity go on declining within the district of Beed.

Agricultural Productivity and Density of Population

The co-relation co-efficient value for agricultural productivity per unit of area and density of population has shown a negative insignificant relationship within the district of Beed. The coefficient value revels that with increasing density of population per Sq Km of area, the productivity of agriculture declines to a certain extent. The optimum size of population gives maximum return from the agriculture. The pressure of population creates a number of problems which adversely influence the agricultural productivity per unit of area. The higher size of population results in the lower per capita income which is a common rule which in turn influence

low inputs and low investment per unit of agriculture area in such regions. Hence, the agricultural productivity per unit area and density of population shows negatively relationship within the district of Beed.

Taluka		Produc Fertil tivity ize Kg/hec in tare KG	il %of cultiv able area	%of Net Sown area	%of irriga tec Area	Ram ta'in MM	% of land hold ing	%of Agricult ure Worker	% of worker in manufact uring	%of Woode n plougn	% of Iron ploug h	% of Oi E	%of Electric pump	%0t Bulloc k cart	Numb er o tracto	Road per 100sqk m area	%of rural pop	% of urban pop	POP % of density litrac per sq, y km rate	% of litrac y rate
Ashti	709	125	94	2.73	785	54	1.9	27.3	1.89	5.5	7.9	7.6	4	7.5	5.1	202	9	0	139	64.4
2 Patoda	365	8	E.	71.2	25.8	534	2.2	31.7	2.5	7.8	7.4	7.4	3.3	7.2	8.4	103	5	0	261	65.5
Shirur	477	13	70	28.3	21.9	596,5	2.2	35.5	5.56	0.01	19.1	10.4	2	16.7	8.4	25	ş	0	264	25
4 Georai	398	105	63.2	236	27.8	617	3.4	9.2	36.2	8.3	84	41	3.6	ro.	4.5	96	89.2	10.85	180	85.3
5 Majalgaon	547	8	72.2	9.69	26.8	574	52	42.2	2.42	148	3.7	7.9	2.5	3.9	1.3	78	79.5	20.48	238	66.4
6 Wadwani	431	\$	73.3	70.8	57.3	574	2	34.5	54	10.7	6.5	1.9	15,3	6'9	11	28	100	0	890	61.1
7 Beed	434	120	63.5	8	43.7	463	1.9	39.5	5.24	£	13	25.5	5.1	13.6	9.4	151	64.9	35.14	259	74.1
8 Kaij	355	95	53.1	51.3	28.2	463	₩.	30.2	2.9	6.1	10.3	2.4	2.5	10.8	3	49	\$	0	191	68.5
9 Dharur	341	95	69.8	67.8	10.5	520	2.7	334	1.54	5.8	4.6	13.6	5.4	4.8	٣	94	70.5	29.47	106	65.5
10 Parli	443	5	72.5	69.3	262	617	3.6	49.9	5.3	15.3	8,1	13.3	4,2	8.5	5.6	99	62.3	37.68	348	70.7
11 Ambejogai	302	115	73.8	70.6	25.3	929	33	48.1	2.82	148	14.4	ယ	3.2	13.1	4.9	8	70.5	29.48	141	73.6
District	454	115	99	63.5	29.5	561	2.3	31.6	10.4	100	100	9	100	100	13	98	82.1	202	207	60.5

Table- 1: Variables influencing Agriculture in Beed District

ENGLISH PART - V / Peer Reviewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal - 40776

Source - Socio Economic Abstract Of Beed District 2000-01

	Productivity Kg/hectare	Fertilizer In KG	Cultivable	% of Net	Interned	Rainfall in WIR	% of tand	Agriculture	£	Wooden	% of Iran	X of Oil	Not Electri	Not Bulloc	Somber of	toose im	% of rural me	* of urban	1	3
% Productively	-												a de la companya de l	5	tractor			ŝ	B. Charles	To Be
Ferrice in KG	0 394819718											2	1							
% of cultivable area	0 274937128	0 11741731						7												
% of Net Sown Area	-0 024107226	Q 115078586	0.91652249	-																
eavy pagečius po %	0 126676007	0.382286947	0 15557308	6 16783124	-															
Rendes in 1866	-0 078580002	0.104415709	0.02137865	0 10928184	-135810.07	-														
guippou puet to X	-0.318263209	-0 198984195	0 1:507105	0.26419712	-0.377919	0.753576	-											tar		
% of Agroutiure Worker	-0.097623907	-4 03721Q357	0.0475,0662	0.19050006	0.0161089	0 183P5193	0.14956979	-												
% of Worker in Manuf.	-0.112150631	0.071230415	-0.1292857	40 12922859	CYGGLY	0.29725292	0.42732026	-0 72169299	-											
Aguaden plough	0.021397942	0.252156026	0.0877943	0 1486554	0.05236719	0.05904973	40.007649	0.00564565	0.10738783	_										
% of iron plough	0.026496858	0 306736911	4 1119/799	-0 09094841	0.00604963	0.01740752	-0 12560112	-0.02302414	9.07777929	0 96164583		ĵ		4		H			111 we 10	
Soci Del engene	0.057188043	0.782908285	5 40,168909	9 d 00919578	-478388	4 0913573	-0.10410236	0.0018139	0 06591555	0.96081624	0 9077159	5545	1.00°		33	314	Y			4
% of Electric pump	0.045346667	0.259224951	361875	281852900	62527750'0	0.00274336	0.12851903	-0.08259026	0.11031196	0.8778.001	57553123	996377996						PRODUCTION OF THE PARTY.		1
% of Bullock can	0.024619711	298706551	-0.09509242	2 -0.07200723	10622710.0	192361	-0.12725507	-0.03347242	3183574	0.36754082	0 92245044	0.37199439	0.97999694	-	K	张/张				
% of tractor	0.040562131	0.281948746	0 0142536	6 002439711	0,12452745	-0.00163538	-0.14778853	-0.07823395	0 10891112	0 972427655	0 97949032	0.96046976	9970313	0 98285407						
Road per 100 sq km area	0.672405514	0.49820573	S CAMSON	4 0.04819082	4.128654	2173833	-0.2890829	-25988129	0,02162238	0.07899551	-0.03230054	6.07228905	-7452478	0.0327287	-0 05089001	a T	W.			
% Rural Population	0.089738369	1.004778765	\$ 0.2625405	5 0 30104376	198788	0,25683607	-0.2812926	0.806342	0.89507287	21955071	0.18958715	2413465	0.15353656	מבווספו ס	0.15630607	0.0043351			A 10	1 4
% Urban Population	0.016700221	-0.065736186	6 -0.24438797	1 -0.25911439	0.00202759	0.28462439	0.48082684	-0.e0077721	0.81357665	4.252551	-0.28477795	-0.27221283	0.24652462	24210568	0.24994779	-2221941	ग		2.01	
Density per Sq.Km.	AT(03)1) Q	5230500000	520053025	3 -0.09319608	0.06736256	0.27968955	0.40558709	\$1168002	0,96168177 0,04643063	3 04643063	-0.0618491	-0.09250242	0.04170557	0.07776683	-0.04253562	-0 01237098	0 92630357	99000945 0	-	T
% of litturesy Rate	0.125493459	6.23345220	5 -0.43481071	4 -0.45718046	0.17315943	0.20820137	0.04995912	-0.55441207	0.73421796	0 05950371	0.17170069	0.18335065	0.14850433	0 16287822	017976678	0.16249813	18717017.0	77128081	0.7155885	

Table- 2: Correlation Matrix of Variables Influencing Agriculture

Source - By Statistiacal Correlation Method Using Microsoft Excel

Agricultural productivity and literacy

Thus positive insignificant relationship between agricultural productivity and literacy rate, represent the increasing trend of agricultural productivity per unit area. The farmers, who are relatively educated, apply their Knowledge, intelligence and expertise in the practice of agriculture. Literate farmers are socially much aware and through all means, give much attention for agriculture. Hence, with increasing literacy rate the productivity of agriculture per unit of area increases within the district of Beed.

References

- 1. Hammond. Rand Mucullgh P. S. (1974): "Quantitative Techniques in Geography: An introduction," Oxford University Press. P. 84
- 2. King, Lesile. J. (1969): "Statistical Analysis in Geography" Prentice Hall, Inc. P. 52
- 3. Megee, M. (1965): "Economic growth and the factor Analysis Method," Southern Economic Journal, Vol. 31, PP. 215-228.
- 4. Zobler, L. (1957): "Statistical Testing of regional Boundaries," Annals of the Association of American Geographers, Vol. 47, PP. 83-95.